Social Media Adoption, Usage And Impact In Business-To-Business (B2B) Context: A State-of-The-Art Literature Review

Yogesh K. Dwivedi1 & Elvira Ismagilova2 & Nripendra P. Rana2 & Ramakrishnan Raman3

Abstract Social media plays an important part in the digital transformation of businesses. This research provides a comprehensive analysis of the use of social media by business-to-business (B2B) companies. The current study focuses on the number of aspects of social media such as the effect of social media, social media tools, social media use, adoption of social media use and its barriers, social media strategies, and measuring the effectiveness of use of social media. This research provides a valuable synthesis of the relevant literature on social media in B2B context by analysing, performing weight analysis and discussing the key findings from existing research on social media. The findings of this study can be used as an informative framework on social media for both, academic and practitioners.

Keywords Business-to-business · Digital transformation · Information systems · Literature review · Marketing · Social media

1 Introduction

The Internet has changed social communications and social behaviour, which lead to the development of new forms of communication channels and platforms (Ismagilova et al. 2017). Social media plays an important part in the digital transformation of businesses (Kunsman 2018). Digital transformation refers to the globally accelerated process of techni- cal adaptation by companies and communities as a result of digitalisation (Sivarajah et al. 2019; Westerman et al. 2014). Web is developed from a tool used to provide passive infor- mation into the collaborative web, which allows and

* Yogesh K. Dwivedi ykdwivedi@gmail.com

Elvira Ismagilova e.ismagilova@bradford.ac.uk

Nripendra P. Rana nrananp@gmail.com

Ramakrishnan Raman director@sibmpune.edu.in

1 Emerging Markets Research Centre (EMaRC), School of Management, Swansea University, Bay Campus, Swansea, UK

2 School of Management, University of Bradford, Bradford, UK

3 Symbiosis Institute of Business Management, Pune & Symbiosis International, Deemed University, Pune, India

encourages active user engagement and contribution. If before social networks were used to provide the information about a company or brand, nowadays businesses use social media in their marketing aims and strategies to improve consumers' involvement, relationship with customers and get useful con- sumers' insights (Alalwan et al. 2017). Business-to-consumer (B2C) companies widely use social media as part of their digital transformation and enjoy its benefits such as an in- crease in sales, brand awareness, and customer engagement to name a few (Barreda et al. 2015; Chatterjee and Kar 2020; Harrigan et al. 2020; Kamboj et al. 2018; Kapoor et al. 2018). From a marketing and sales research perspective, social media is defined as "the technological component of the com- munication, transaction and relationship building functions of a business which leverages the network of customers and prospects to promote value co-creation" (Andzulis et al. 2012 p.308). Industrial buyers use social media for their pur- chase as they compare products, research the market and build relationships with salesperson (Itani et al. 2017). Social media changed the way how buyers and sellers interact (Agnihotri et al. 2016) by enabling open and broad communications and cooperation between them (Rossmann and Stei 2015). Social media is an important facilitator of relationships between a company and customers (Agnihotri et al. 2012; Tedeschi 2006). Customers are more connected to companies, which make them more knowledgable about product selection and more powerful in

buyer-seller relationships (Agnihotri et al. 2016). Social media also helps companies to increase business

exposure, traffic and providing marketplace insight (Agnihotri et al. 2016; Stelzner 2011). As a result, the use of social media supports business decision processes and helps to improve companies' performance (Rossmann and Stei 2015). Due to digitalisation customers are becoming more in- formed and rely less on traditional selling initiatives (Ancillai et al. 2019). Buyers are relying more on digital re- sources and their buying process more often involves the use of social media. For example, in the research B2B buyer sur- vey, 82% of buyers stated that social media content has a significant impact on the purchase decision (Ancillai et al. 2019; Minsky and Quesenberry 2016). As a result, these changes in consumer behaviour place high pressure on B2B salespeople and traditional sales companies (Ancillai et al. 2019). By using evidence from major B2B companies and consultancy report some studies claim that social media can be applied in sales to establish effective dialogues with buyers (Ancillai et al. 2019; Kovac 2016; McKinsey and Company 2015). Now, business-to-business (B2B) companies started using social media as part of their digital transformation. 83% of B2B companies use social media, which makes it the most common marketing tactic (Pulizzi and Handley 2017; Sobal 2017). More than 70% of B2B companies use at least one of the "big 4" social media sites such as LinkedIn, Twitter, Facebook and YouTube. Additionally, 50% of the companies stated that social media has improved their marketing optimi- zation and customer experience, while 25% stated that their revenue went up (Gregorio 2017; Sobal 2017). Even though B2B companies are benefitting from social media used by marketers, it is argued that research on that area is still in the embryonic stage and future research is needed (Salo 2017; Siamagka et al. 2015; Juntunen et al. 2020; Iannacci et al. 2020). There is a limited understanding of how B2B compa- nies need to change to embrace recent technological innova- tions and how it can lead to business and societal transforma- tion (Chen et al. 2012; Loebbecke and Picot 2015; Pappas et al. 2018). The topic of social media in the context of B2B companies has started attracting attention from both academics and prac- titioners. This is evidenced by the growing number of research output within academic journals and conference proceedings. Some studies provided a comprehensive literature review on social media use by B2B companies (Pascucci et al. 2018; Salo 2017), but focused only on adoption of social media by B2B or social media influence, without providing the whole picture of the use of social media by B2B companies. Thus, this study aims to close this gap in the literature by conducting a comprehensive analysis of the use of social media by B2B companies and discuss its role in the digital transformation of B2B companies. The findings of this study can provide an informative framework for research on social media in the context of B2B companies for academics and practitioners.

The remaining sections of the study are organised as fol- lows. Section 2 offers a brief overview of the methods used to identify relevant studies to be included in this review. Section 3 synthesises the studies identified in the previous section and provides a detailed overview. Section 4 presents weight analysis and its findings. Next section discusses the key aspects of the research, highlights any limitations within existing studies and explores the potential directions for future research. Finally, the paper is concluded in Section 6.

2 Literature Search Method

The approach utilised in this study aligns with the recommen- dations in Webster and Watson (2002). This study used a keyword search-based approach for identifying relevant arti- cles (Dwivedi et al. 2019b; Ismagilova et al. 2020a; Ismagilova et al. 2019; Jeyaraj and Dwivedi 2020; Williams et al. 2015). Keywords such as "Advertising" OR "Marketing" OR "Sales" AND TITLE ("Social Media" OR "Web 2.0" OR "Facebook" OR "LinkedIn" OR "Instagram" OR "Twitter" OR "Snapchat" OR "Pinterest" OR "WhatsApp" OR "Social Networking Sites") AND TITLE- ABS-KEY ("B2B" OR "B to B" OR "Business to Business" OR "Business 2 Business") were searched via the Scopus database. Scopus database was chosen to ensure the inclusion of only high quality studies. Use of online databases for conducting a systematic literature review became an emerging culture used by a number of information systems research studies (Dwivedi et al. 2019a; Gupta et al. 2019; Ismagilova et al. 2020b; Muhammad et al. 2018; Rana et al. 2019). The search resulted in 80 articles. All studies were processed by the authors in order to ensure relevance and that the research offered a contribution to the social media in the context B2B discussion. The search and review resulted in 70 articles and conference papers that formed the literature review for this study. The selected studies appeared in 33 separate journals and conference proceedings, including journals such as Industrial Marketing Management, Journal of Business and Industrial Marketing and Journal of Business Research.

3 Literature Synthesis

The studies on social media research in the context of B2B companies were divided into the following themes: effect of social media, adoption of social media, social media strategies, social media use, measuring the effec- tiveness of use of social media, and social media tools (see Table 1). The following subsections provide an overview of each theme.

Table 1 Themes in social media research (B2B context) Theme Sources

3.1 Effect of Social Media

Some studies focus on the effect of social media for B2B companies, which include customer satisfaction, value crea- tion, intention to buy and sales, building relationships with customers, brand awareness, knowledge creation, perceived corporate credibility, acquiring of new customers, salesperson performance, employee brand engagement, and sustainability (Table 2).

Table 2 Effect of social media

Theme	Source(s)

Customer satisfaction Agnihotri et al. 2016; Ancillai et al. 2019; Rossmann and Stei 2015

Value Creation Agnihotri et al. 2012; Agnihotri et al. 2017

Intention to buy and Sales Ancillai et al. 2019; Hsiao et al. 2020; Itani et al. 2017; Mahrous 2013; Salo 2017

Customer relationships Bhattacharjya and Ellison 2015; Gáti et al. 2018; Gruner and Power 2018; Hollebeek 2019; Iankova et al. 2018; Jussila et al. 2011; Kho 2008; Niedermeier et al. 2016; Ogilvie et al. 2018

Brand awareness Ancillai et al. 2019; Hsiao et al. 2020

Knowledge creation Kärkkäinen et al. 2011

Corporate credibility Kho 2008

Acquiring new customers Meire et al. 2017

Salesperson performance Moncrief et al. 2015; Rodriguez et al. 2012

Employee brand management Pitt et al. 2018; Pitt et al. 2017

Sustainability Sivarajah et al. 2019; Kasper et al. 2015

Effect of social media Agnihotri et al. 2016; Ancillai et al. 2019; Rossmann and Stei 2015; Agnihotri et al. 2012; Agnihotri et al. 2017; Itani et al. 2017; Salo 2017; Bhattacharjya and Ellison 2015; Gáti et al. 2018; Gruner and Power 2018; Hollebeek 2019; Iankova et al. 2018; Jussila et al. 2011; Kho 2008; Niedermeier et al. 2016; Ogilvie et al. 2018; Hsiao et al. 2020; Mahrous 2013; Kärkkäinen et al. 2011; Meire et al. 2017; Moncrief et al. 2015; Rodriguez et al. 2012; Pitt et al. 2018; Pitt et al. 2017; Sivarajah et al. 2019

Adoption of social media Buratti et al. 2018; Gáti et al. 2018; Gazal et al. 2016; Itani et al. 2017; Kumar and Möller 2018; Lacka and Chong 2016; Lashgari et al. 2018; Michaelidou et al. 2011; Müller et al. 2018; Nunan et al. 2018; Pascucci et al. 2018; Rossmann and Stei 2015; Shaltoni 2017; Siamagka et al. 2015; Wang et al. 2017

Social media strategies Cawsey and Rowley 2016; Huotari et al. 2015; Kasper et al. 2015; McShane et al. 2019; Mudambi et al. 2019; Swani et al. 2013; Swani et al. 2014; Swani et al. 2017; Watt 2010

Social media use Andersson et al. 2013; Bernard 2016; Bolat et al. 2016; Denktaş-Şakar and Sürücü 2018; Dyck 2010; Guesalaga 2016; Habibi et al. 2015; Katona and Sarvary 2014; Moore et al. 2013; Moore et al. 2015; Müller et al. 2013; Niedermeier et al. 2016; Sułkowski and Kaczorowska-Spychalska 2016; Vasudevan and Kumar 2018; Vukanovic 2013

Measuring effectiveness of social media Gazal et al. 2016; Michaelidou et al. 2011; Vasudevan and Kumar 2018

Social media tools Keinänen and Kuivalainen 2015; Mehmet and Clarke 2016; Yang et al. 2012

3.1.1 Customer Satisfaction

Some studies investigated how the use of social media affect- ed customer satisfaction (Agnihotri et al. 2016; Ancillai et al. 2019; Rossmann and Stei 2015). For example, Agnihotri et al. (2016) investigated how the implementation of social media by B2B salesperson affects consumer satisfaction. Salesperson's social media use is defined as a "salesperson's utilization and integration of social media technology to

Theme	Sources
Effect of social media	Agnihotri et al. 2016; Ancillai et al. 2019; Rossmann and Stei 2015; Agnihotri et al. 2012; Agnihotri et al. 2017; Itani et al. 2017; Salo 2017; Bhattacharjya and Ellison 2015; Gáti et al. 2018; Gruner and Power 2018; Hollebeek 2019; Iankova et al. 2018; Jussila et al. 2011; Kho 2008; Niedermeier et al. 2016; Ogilvie et al. 2018; Hsiao et al. 2020; Mahrous 2013; Kärkkäinen et al. 2011; Meire et al. 2017; Moncrief et al. 2015; Rodriguez et al. 2012; Pitt et al. 2018; Pitt et al. 2017; Sivarajah et al. 2019
Adoption of social media	Buratti et al. 2018; Gáti et al. 2018; Gazal et al. 2016; Itani et al. 2017; Kumar and Möller 2018; Lacka and Chong 2016; Lashgari et al. 2018; Michaelidou et al. 2011; Müller et al. 2018; Nunan et al. 2018; Pascucci et al. 2018; Rossmann and Stei 2015; Shaltoni 2017; Siamagka et al. 2015; Wang et al. 2017
Social media strategies	Cawsey and Rowley 2016; Huotari et al. 2015; Kasper et al. 2015; McShane et al. 2019; Mudambi et al. 2019; Swani et al. 2013; Swani et al. 2014; Swani et al. 2017; Watt 2010
Social media use	Andersson et al. 2013; Bernard 2016; Bolat et al. 2016; Denktaş-Şakar and Sürücü 2018; Dyck 2010; Guesalaga 2016; Habibi et al. 2015; Katona and Sarvary 2014; Moore et al. 2013; Moore et al. 2015; Müller et al. 2013; Niedermeier et al. 2016; Sułkowski and Kaczorowska-Spychalska 2016; Vasudevan and Kumar 2018; Vukanovic 2013
Measuring effectiveness of social media	Gazal et al. 2016; Michaelidou et al. 2011; Vasudevan and Kumar 2018
Social media tools	Keinänen and Kuivalainen 2015; Mehmet and Clarke 2016; Yang et al. 2012

Theme	Source(s)
Customer satisfaction	Agnihotri et al. 2016; Ancillai et al. 2019; Rossmann and Stei 2015
Value Creation	Agnihotri et al. 2012; Agnihotri et al. 2017
Intention to buy and Sales	Ancillai et al. 2019; Hsiao et al. 2020; Itani et al. 2017; Mahrous 2013; Salo 2017
Customer relationships	Bhattacharjya and Ellison 2015; Gáti et al. 2018; Gruner and Power 2018; Hollebeek 2019; Iankova et al. 2018; Jussila et al. 2011; Kho 2008; Niedermeier et al. 2016; Ogilvie et al. 2018
Brand awareness	Ancillai et al. 2019; Hsiao et al. 2020
Knowledge creation	Kärkkäinen et al. 2011
Corporate credibility	Kho 2008
Acquiring new customers	Meire et al. 2017
Salesperson performance	Moncrief et al. 2015; Rodriguez et al. 2012
Employee brand management	Pitt et al. 2018; Pitt et al. 2017
Sustainability	Sivarajah et al. 2019; Kasper et al. 2015

perform his or her job" (Agnihotri et al. 2016, p.2). The study used data from 111 sales professionals involved in B2B in- dustrial selling to test the proposed hypotheses. It was found that a salesperson's use of social media will have a positive effect on information communication, which will, in turn, lead to improved customer satisfaction with the salesperson. Also, it was investigated that information communication will be positively related to responsiveness, which impacts customer satisfaction. Another study by Rossmann and Stei (2015) looked at the antecedents of social media use, social media use by B2B companies and their effect on customers. By using data from 362 chief information officers of B2B companies the study found the following. Social media usage of sales representa- tive has a positive impact on customer satisfaction. Age has a negative effect on content generation. It seems that older sales- people use social media in passive ways or interacting with the customer rather than creating their own content. It was found that the quality of corporate social media strategy has a posi- tive impact on social media usage in terms of the consumption of information, content generation, and active interaction with customers. Also, the expertise of a salesperson in the area of social media has a positive impact on social media usage.

3.1.2 Value Creation

Research in B2B found that social media can create value for customers and salesperson (Agnihotri et al. 2012; Agnihotri et al. 2017). Agnihotri et al. (2012) proposed a theoretical framework to explain the mechanisms through which sales- people's use of social media operates to create value and pro- pose a strategic approach to social media use to achieve com- petitive goals. The study draws on the existing literature on relationship marketing, task-technology fit theory, and sales service behavior to sketch a social media strategy for business- to-business sales organizations with relational selling objec- tives. The proposed framework describes how social media tools can help salespeople perform service behaviors (information sharing, customer service, and trust-building) leading to value creation. Some researchers investigated the role of the salesperson in the value creation process after closing the sale. By employing salesperson-customer data within a business-to-business con- text, Agnihotri et al. (2017) analysed the direct effects of sales- based CRM technology on the post-sale service behaviors: diligence, information communication, inducements, empa- thy, and sportsmanship. Additionally, the study examines the interactive effects of sales-based CRM technology and social media on these behaviors. The results indicate that sales-based CRM technology has a positive influence on salesperson service behaviors and that salespeople using CRM technology in conjunction with social media are more likely to exhibit higher levels of SSBs than their counterparts

with low social media technology use. Data were collected from 162 salespeople from India. SmartPLS was used to ana- lyse the data.

3.1.3 Intention to Buy and Sales

Another group of studies investigated the effect of social me- dia on the level of sales and consumer purchase intention (Ancillai et al. 2019; Itani et al. 2017; Salo 2017; Hsiao et al. 2020; Mahrous 2013). For example, Itani et al. (2017) used the theory of reasoned actions to develop a model that tests the factors affecting the use of social media by salesperson and its impact. By collecting data from 120 salespersons from different industries and using SmartPLS to analyse the data, it was found that attitude towards social media usefulness did not affect the use of social media. It was found that social media use positively affects competitive intelligence collection, adaptive selling behaviour, which in turn influenced sales performance. Another study by Ancillai et al. (2019) used in-depth interviews with social selling professionals. The findings suggest that the use of so- cial media improves not only the level of sales but also affects relationship and customer performance (trust, customer satis- faction, customer referrals); and organisational performance (organisational selling performance and brand performance). It was investigated that social media has a positive effect on the intention to purchase (Hsiao et al. 2020; Mahrous 2013). For instance, Mahrous (2013) by reviewing the literature on B2B and B2C companies concluded that social media has a significant influence on consumer buying behaviour.

3.1.4 Customer Relationships

Another group of studies focused on the effect of social media on customer relationships (Bhattacharjya and Ellison 2015; Gáti et al. 2018; Gruner and Power 2018; Hollebeek 2019; Iankova et al. 2018; Jussila et al. 2011; Kho 2008; Niedermeier et al. 2016; Ogilvie et al. 2018). For example, Bhattacharjya and Ellison (2015) investigated the way com- panies build relationships with customers by using responsive customer relationship management. The study analysed cus- tomer relationship management activities from Twitter ac- count of a Canadian company Shopify (B2B service provid- er). The company uses Twitter to engage with small business customers, develops and consumers. Jussila et al. (2011), by reviewing the literature, found that social media leads to in- creased customer focus and understanding, increased level of customer service and decreased time-to-market. Gáti et al. (2018) focused their research efforts on social media use in customer relationship performance, particularly in customer relations. The study investigated the adoption and impact of social media by salespeople of B2B companies. By using data of 112 salespeople from several industries the study

found that the intensity of technology use positively affects attitude towards social media, which positively affects social media use. Intensive technology use in turn positively affects customer relationship performance (customer retention). PLS- SEM was applied for analysis. Another study by Gruner and Power (2018) investigated the effectiveness of the use of multiple social media platforms in communications with customers. By using data from 208 large Australian organisations, the paper explores how com- panies' investment in one form of social media impacts activ- ity on another form of social media. A regression analysis was performed to analyse the data. It was found that widespread activities on LinkedIn, Twitter and YouTube have a negative effect on a company's marketing activity on Facebook. Thus, having it is more effective for the company to focus on a specific social media platform in forming successful inter- organisational relationships with customers. Hollebeek (2019) proposed an integrative S-D logic/ resource-based view (RBV) model of customer engagement. The proposed model considers business customer actors and resources in driving business customer resource integration, business customer resource integration effectiveness and busi- ness customer resource integration efficiency, which are ante- cedents of business customer engagement. Business customer engagement, in turn, results in business customer co-creation and relationship productivity. Niedermeier et al. (2016) investigated the use of social media among salespeople in the pharmaceutical industry in China. Also, the study investigated the impact of social media on building culturally specific Guanxi relationships-it in- volves the exchange of factors to build trust and connection for business purpose. By using in-depth interviews with 3 sales managers and a survey of 42 pharmaceutical sales rep- resentatives that study found that WeChat is the most common social media platform used by businesses. Also, it was found to be an important tool in building Guanxi. Future studies should focus on other industries and other types of cultural features in doing business. Ogilvie et al. (2018) investigated the effect of social media technologies on customer relationship performance and objec- tive sales performance by using two empirical studies con- ducted in the United States. The first study used 375 salespeo- ple from 1200 B2B companies. The second study used 181 respondents from the energy solution company. It was found that social media significantly affects salesperson product in- formation communication, diligence, product knowledge and adaptability, which in turn affect customer

relationship perfor- mance. It was also found that the use of social media technol- ogies without training on technology will not lead to good results. Thus, the results propose that companies should allo- cate the resources required for the proper implementation of social media strategies. Future research should examine how

the personality traits of a salesperson can moderate the imple- mentation of social media technologies. While most of the studies focused on a single country, Iankova et al. (2018) investigated the perceived effectiveness of social media by different types of businesses in two coun- tries. By using 449 respondents from the US and the UK businesses, it was found that social media is potentially less important, at the present time, for managing ongoing relation- ships in B2B organizations than for B2C, Mixed or B2B2C organizations. All types of businesses ascribe similar impor- tance to social media for acquisition-related activities. Also it was found that B2B organizations see social media as a less effective communication channel, and to have less potential as a channel for the business.

3.1.5 Brand Awareness

Some researchers argued that social media can influence brand awareness (Ancillai et al. 2019; Hsiao et al. 2020). For instance, Hsiao et al. (2020) investigated the effect of social media in the fashion industry. By collecting 1395 posts from lookbook.nu and employing regression analysis it was found that the inclusion of national brand and private fashion brands in the post increased the level of popularity which leads to purchasing interest and brand awareness.

3.1.6 Knowledge Creation

Multiple types of collaborative web tools can help and signif- icantly increase the collaboration and the use of the distributed knowledge inside and outside of the company (McAfee 2006). Kärkkäinen et al. (2011) by analysing previous litera- ture on social media proposed that social media use has a positive effect on sharing and creation of customer informa- tion and knowledge in the case of B2B companies.

3.1.7 Corporate Credibility

Another study by Kho (2008) states the advantages of using social media by B2B companies, which include faster and more personalised communications between customer and vendor, which can improve corporate credibility and strengthen the relationships. Thanks to social media companies can provide more detailed information about their products and services. Kho (2008) also mentions that customer forums and blog comments in the B2B environment should be carefully monitored in order to make sure that in- appropriate discussions are taken offline and negative eWOM communications should be addressed in a timely manner.

3.1.8 Acquiring New Customers

Meire et al. (2017) investigated the impact of social media on acquiring B2B customers. By using commercially purchased prospecting data, website data and Facebook data from bev- erage companies the study conducted an experiment and found that social media us an effective tool in acquiring B2B customers. Future work might assess the added value of social media pages for profitability prediction instead of prospect conversion. When a longer timeframe becomes available (e.g., after one year), the profitability of the convert- ed prospects can be assessed.

3.1.9 Salesperson Performance

Moncrief et al. (2015) investigated the impact of social media technologies on the role of salesperson position. It was found that social media affects sales management functions (super- vision, selection, training, compensation, and deployment) and salesperson performance (role, skill, and motivation). Another study by Rodriguez et al. (2012) examines the effect of social media on B2B sales performance by using social capital theory and collecting data from 1699 B2B salespeople from over 25 different industries. By employing SEM AMOS, the study found that social media usage has a positive signif- icant relationship with selling companies' ability to create op- portunities and manage relationships. The study also found that social media usage has a positive and significant relation- ship with sales performance (based on relational measurers of sales that focus on behaviours that strengthen the relationship between buyers and sellers), but not with outcome-based sales performance (reflected by quota achievement, growth in aver- age billing size, and overall revenue gain).

3.1.10 Employee Brand Management

The study by Pitt et al. (2018) focuses on employee engage- ment with B2B companies on social media. By using results from Glassdoor (2315 five-star and 1983 one-star reviews for the highest-ranked firms, and 1013 five star and 1025 one-star reviews for lowest ranked firms) on employee brand engage- ment on social media, two key drivers of employee brand engagement by using the content analysis tool DICTION were identified-optimism and commonality. Individuals working in top-ranked companies expressed a higher level of optimism and commonality in comparison with individuals working in low-ranked companies. As a result, a 2 × 2 matrix was con- structed which can help managers to choose strategies in order to increase and improve employee brand engagement. Another study by Pitt et al. (2017) focused on employee en- gagement of B2B companies on social media. By using a conceptual framework based on a theory of word choice and verbal tone and 6300 reviews collected from Glassdoor and

analysed using DICTION. The study found that employees of highly ranked B2B companies are more positive about their employer brand and talk more optimistically about these brands. For low ranked B2B companies it was found that employees express a greater level of activity, certainty, and realism. Also, it was found that they used more aggressive language.

3.1.11 Sustainability

Sustainability refers to the strategy that helps a business "to meet its current requirements without compromising its ability to meet future needs" (World Commission Report on Environment and Development 1987, p 41). Two studies out of 70 focused on the role of social media for B2B sustainabil- ity (Sivarajah et al. 2019; Kasper et al. 2015). For example, Sivarajah et al. (2019) argued that big data and social media within a participatory web environment to enable B2B orga- nisations to become profitable and remain sustainable through strategic operations and marketing related business activities. Another study by Kasper et al. (2015) proposed the Social Media Matrix which helps companies to decide which social media activities to execute based on their corporate and com- munication goals. The matrix includes three parts. The first part is focusing on social media goals and task areas, which were identified and matched. The second part consists of five types of social media activities (content, interaction/dialog, listening and analysing, application and networking). The third part provides a structure to assess the suitability of each activity type on each social media platform for each goal. The matrix was successfully tested by assessing the German B2B sector by using expert interviews with practitioners. Based on the reviewed studies, it can be seen that if used appropriately social media have positive effect on B2B com- panies before and after sales, such as customer satisfaction, value creation, intention to buy and sales, customer relation- ships, brand awareness, knowledge creation, corporate credi- bility, acquiring new customers, salesperson performance, employee brand management, and sustainability. However, limited research is done on the negative effect of social media on b2b companies.

3.2 Adoption of Social Media

Some scholars investigated factors affecting the adoption of social media by B2B companies (Buratti et al. 2018; Gáti et al. 2018; Gazal et al. 2016; Itani et al. 2017; Kumar and Möller 2018; Lacka and Chong 2016). For instance, Lacka and Chong (2016) investigated factors affecting the adoption of social media by B2B companies from different industries in China. The study collected the data from 181 respondents and used the technology acceptance model with Nielsen's model of attributes of system acceptability as a theoretical

framework. By using SEM AMOS for analysis the study found that perceived usability, perceived usefulness, and per- ceived utility positively affect adoption and use of social me- dia by B2B marketing professionals. The usefulness is subject to the assessment of whether social media sites are suitable means through which marketing activities can be conducted. The ability to use social media sites for B2B marketing pur- poses, in turn, is due to those sites learnability and memora- bility attributes. Another study by Müller et al. (2018) investigated factors affecting the usage of social media. By using survey data from 100 Polish and 39 German sensor suppliers, it was found that buying frequency, the function of a buyer, the industry sector and the country does not affect the usage of social media in the context of sensor technology from Poland and Germany. The study used correlation analysis and ANOVA. Lashgari et al. (2018) studied the adoption and use of social media by using face-to-face interviews with key managers of four multinational corporations and observations from com- panies' websites and social media platforms. It was found that that the elements essential in forming the B2B firm's social media adoption strategies are content (depth and diversity), corresponding social media platform, the structure of social media channels, the role of moderators, information accessi- bility approaches (public vs. gated-content), and online com- munities. These elements are customized to the goals and target group the firm sets to pursue. Similarly, integration of social media into other promotional channels can fall under an ad-hoc or continuous approach depending on the scope and the breadth of the communication plan, derived from the goal. Similar to Lashgari et al. (2018), Shaltoni (2017) used data from managers. The study applied technology organisational environmental framework and diffusion of innovations to in- vestigate factors affecting the adoption of social media by B2B companies. By using data from marketing managers or business owners of 480 SMEs, the study found that perceived relative advance, perceive compatibility, organizational inno- vativeness, competitor pressure, and customer pressure influ- ence the adoption of social media by B2B companies. The findings also suggest that many decision-makers in B2B com- panies think that Internet marketing is not beneficial, as it is not compatible with the nature of B2B markets. Buratti et al. (2018) investigated the adoption of social media by tanker shipping companies and ocean carriers. By using data from 60 companies the following was found. LinkedIn is the most used tool, with a 93.3% adoption rate. Firm size emerges as a predictor of Twitter's adoption: big companies unveil a higher attitude to use it. Finally, the country of origin is not a strong influential factor in the adoption rate. Nonetheless, Asian firms clearly show a lower attitude to join SM tools such as Facebook (70%) and LinkedIn (86.7%), probably also due to governmental web restrictions imposed in China. External di- mensions such as the core business, the firm size, the

geographic area of origin, etc., seem to affect network wide- ness. Firm size, also, discriminates the capacity of firms to build relational networks. Bigger firms create networks larger than small firms do. Looking at geographical dimensions, Asian firms confirm to be far less active on SM respect to European and North American firms. Finally, the study analyzed the for- mat of the contents disclosed by sample firms, observing quite limited use of photos and videos: in the sample industries, in- formational contents seem more appropriate for activating a dialogue with stakeholders and communication still appears formulated in a very traditional manner. Preliminary findings suggest that companies operating in conservative B2B services pursue different strategic approaches toward SMM and develop ad hoc communication tactics. Nonetheless, to be successful in managing SM tools, a high degree of commitment and a clear vision concerning the role of SM within communication and marketing strategy is necessary. Gazal et al. (2016) investigated the adoption and measuring of the effectiveness of social media in the context of the US

forest industry by using organisational-level adoption frame- work and TAM. By using data from 166 companies and performing regression analysis, the following results were re- ceived. Years in business, new sales revenue, product type, amount of available information on a company website, per- ceived importance of e-commerce and perceived ease of use of social media significantly affected social media use. Also, it was found that companies' strategies and internal resources and capabilities and influence a company's decision to adopt social media. Also, it was found that 94 of respondents do not measure the ROI from social media use. The reason is that the use of social media in marketing is relatively new and com- panies do not possess the knowledge of measuring ROI from the use of social media. Companies mostly use quantitative metrics (number of site visits, number of social network friends, number of comments and profile views) and qualita- tive metrics (growth of relationships with the key audience, audience participation, moving from monologue to dialogue with consumers. Facebook was found to be the most effective social media platform reported by the US forest industry. The study by Kumar and Möller (2018) investigated the role of social media for B2B companies in their recruitment practices. By using data from international B2B company with headquarter in Helsinki, Finland comprised of 139 re- spondents it was found that brand familiarity encourages them to adopt social media platforms for a job search; however, the effect of the persuasiveness of recruitment messages on users' adoption of social media platforms for their job search behav- ior is negative. The study used correlation analysis and de- scriptive analysis to analyse the data. Nunan et al. (2018) identified areas for future research such as patterns of social media adoption, the role of social media platforms within the sales process, B2B consumer

engagement and social media, modeling the ROI of social media, and the risks of social media within B2B sales relationships. The study by Pascucci et al. (2018) conducted a systematic literature review on antecedents affecting the adoption and use of social media by B2B companies. By reviewing 29 studies published in academic journal and conferences from 2001 to 2017, the study identified external (pressure from customers, competitors, availability of external information about social media) and internal factors (personal characteristics - managers age, individual commitment, perceptions of social media-perceived ease of use, perceived usefulness, perceived utility), which can affect adoption of social media. The study by Siamagka et al. (2015) aims to investigate factors affecting the adoption of social media by B2B organi- sations. The conceptual model was based on the technology acceptance model and the resource-based theory. AMOS soft- ware and Structural equation modelling were employed to test the proposed hypotheses. By using a sample of 105 UK com- panies, the study found that perceived usefulness of social media is influenced by image, perceived ease of use and per- ceived barriers. Also, it was found that social media adoption is significantly determined by organisational innovativeness and perceived usefulness. Additionally, the study tested the moderating role of organisational innovativeness and found that it does not affect the adoption of social media by B2B organisations. The study also identified that perceived barriers to SNS (uncertainty about how to use SNS to achieve objec- tives, employee's lack of knowledge about SNS, high cost of investment needed to adopt the technology) have a negative impact on perceived usefulness of social media by B2B orga- nisations. The study also used nine in-depth interviews with B2B senior managers and social media specialists about adop- tion of social media by B2B. It was found that perceived pressure from stakeholders influences B2B organisations' adoption intention of social media. Future research should test it by using quantitative methods. While most of the studies focused on the antecedents of social media adoption by B2B companies, Michaelidou et al. (2011) investigated the usage, perceived barriers and measur- ing the effectiveness of social media. By using data from 92 SMEs the study found that over a quarter of B2B SMEs in the UK are currently using SNS to achieve brand objectives, the most popular of which is to attract new customers. The bar- riers that prevent SMEs from using social media to support their brands were lack of staff familiarity and technical skills. Innovativeness of a company determined the adoption of so- cial media. It was found that most of the companies do not evaluate the effectiveness of their SNS in supporting their brand. The most popular measures were the number of users joining the groups/discussion and the number of comments made. The findings showed that the size of the company does not influence the usage of social media for small and medium-

sized companies. Future research should investigate the usage of social media in large companies and determine if the size can have and influence on the use. The benefits of using social media include increasing awareness and communicating the brand online. B2B companies can employ social media to create customer value in the form of interacting with cus- tomers, as well as building and fostering customer relation- ships. Future research should investigate the reasons why most of the users do not assess the effectiveness of their SNS. Future research should also investigate how the attitude towards technology can influence the adoption of social media. Based on the reviewed studies it can be seen that the main factors affecting the adoption of social media by B2B compa- nies are perceived usability, technical skills of employees, pressure from stakeholders, perceived usefulness and innovativeness.

3.3 Social Media Strategies

Another group of studies investigated types of strategies B2B companies apply (Cawsey and Rowley 2016; Huotari et al. 2015; Kasper et al. 2015; McShane et al. 2019; Mudambi et al. 2019; Swani et al. 2013; Swani et al. 2014; Swani et al. 2017; Watt 2010). For example, Cawsey and Rowley (2016) fo- cused on the social media strategies of B2B companies. By conducting semi-structured interviews with marketing profes- sionals from France, Ireland, the UK and the USA it was found that enhancing brand image, extending brand aware- ness and facilitating customer engagement were considered the most common social media objective. The study proposed the B2B social media strategy framework, which includes six components of a social media strategy: 1) monitoring and listening 2) empowering and engaging employees 3) creating compelling content 4) stimulating eWOM 5) evaluating and selecting channels 6) enhancing brand presence through inte- grating social media. Chirumalla et al. (2018) focused on the social media en- gagement strategies of manufacturing companies. By using semi-structured interviews (36), observations (4), focus group meetings (6), and documentation, the study developed the process of social media adoption through a three-phase en- gagement strategy which

includes coordination, cooperation, and co-production. McShane et al. (2019) proposed social media strategies to influence online users' engagement with B2B companies. Taking into consideration fluency lens the study analysed Twitter feeds of top 50 social B2B brands to examine the influence of hashtags, text difficulty embedded media and message timing on user engagement, which was evaluated in terms of likes and retweets. It was found that hashtags and text difficulty are connected to lower levels of engagement while

embedded media such as images and videos improve the level of engagement. Swani et al. (2014) investigate the use of Twitter by B2B and B2C companies and predict factors that influence mes- sage strategies. The study conducted a longitudinal content analysis by collecting 7000 tweets from Fortune 500 compa- nies. It was found that B2B and B2C companies used different message appeals, cues, links and hashtags. B2B companies tend to use more emotional than functional appeals. It was found that B2B and B2C companies do not use hard-sell mes- sage strategies. Another study by Swani et al. (2013) aimed to investigate message strategies that can help in promoting ewOM activity for B2B companies. By applying content analysis and hierar- chical linear modeling the study analysed 1143 wall post mes- sages from 193 fortune 500 Facebook accounts. The study found that B2B account posts will be more effective if they include corporate brand names and avoid hard sell or explic- itly commercial statement. Also, companies should use emo- tional sentiment in Facebook posts. Huotari et al. (2015) aimed to investigate how B2B mar- keters can influence content creation in social media. By conducting four face-to-face interviews with B2B marketers, it was found that a B2B company can influence content crea- tion in social media directly by adding new content, partici- pating in a discussion and removing content through corporate user accounts and controlling employees social media behav- iour. Also, it can influence it indirectly by training employees to create desired content and perfuming marketing activities that influence other users to create content that is favorable for the company. Most of the studies investigated the strategies and con- tent of social media communications of B2B companies. However, the limited number of studies investigated the importance of CEO engagement on social media in the company's strategies. Mudambi et al. (2019) emphasise the importance of the CEO of B2B companies to be present and active on social media. The study discusses the advan- tages of social media presence for the CEO and how it will benefit the company. For example, one of the benefits for the CEO can be perceived as being more trustworthy and effective than non-social CEOs, which will benefit the company in increased customer trust. Mudambi et al. (2019) also discussed the platforms the CEO should use and posting frequencies depending on the content of the post. From the above review of the studies, it can be seen that B2B companies social media strategies include enhancing brand image, extending brand awareness and facilitating cus- tomer engagement. Companies use various message strate- gies, such as using emotional appeal, use of brand names, and use of hashtags. Majority of the companies avoid hard sell or explicitly commercial statement.

3.4 Social Media Use

Studies investigated the way how companies used social me- dia and factors affecting the use of social media by B2B (Andersson et al. 2013; Bernard 2016; Bolat et al. 2016; Denktaş-Şakar and Sürücü 2018; Dyck 2010; Guesalaga 2016; Habibi et al. 2015). For example, Vasudevan and Kumar (2018) investigated how B2B companies use social media by analysing 325 brand posts of Canon India, Epson India, and HP India on Linkedin, Facebook, and Twitter. By employing content analysis the study found that most of the posts had a combination of text and message. More than 50% of the posts were about product or brand-centric. The study argued that likes proved to be an unreliable measure of en- gagement, while shares were considered a more reliable met- ric. The reason was that likes had high spikes when brand posts were boosted during promotional activities. Andersson and Wikström (2017) used case studies of three B2B companies to investigate reasons for using social media. It was found that companies use social media to enhance cus- tomer relationships, support sales and build their brands. Also, social media is used as a recruiting tool, a seeking tool, and a product information and service tool. Bell and Shirzad (2013) aimed to conduct social media use analysis in the context of pharmaceutical companies. The study analysed 54,365 tweets from the top five pharmaceutical companies. The study analysed the popular time slots, the average number of positive and negative tweets and its content by using Nvivo9. Bernard (2016) aims to examine how chief marketing offi- cers use social media. By using case studies from IBM expe- rience with social media it was found that B2B CMO's are not ready to make use of social media. It was proposed that social media can be used for after-sales service, getting sales leads, engaging with key influencers, building the company's repu- tation and enhancing the industry status of key individuals. B2B firms need to exploit the capabilities of processing mas- sive amounts of data to get the most from social media. Bolat et al. (2016) explore how companies apply mobile social media. By employing a grounded theory approach to analyse interviews from 26 B2B company representatives from UK advertising and marketing sector companies. It was found that companies use social media for branding, sensing market, managing relationships, and developing content. Denktaş-Şakar and Sürücü (2018) investigated how social media usage influence stakeholder engagement fo- cusing on the corporate Facebook page of 30 3PLs com- panies. In total 1532 Facebook posts were analysed. It was found that the number of followers, post sharing frequency, negatively affect stakeholder engagement. It was found that content including photos facilitates more stakeholder engagement (likes, comment, share) in com- parison with other forms. Vivid posts and special day

celebration posts strengthen relationships with stakeholders. Dyck (2010) discussed the advantages of using social me- dia for the device industry. Social media can be used for prod- uct innovation and development, to build a team and collabo- rate globally. Also, there is an opportunity to connect with all of the stakeholders needed in order to deliver the device to the market. Additionally, it provides to receive feedback from customers (doctors, hospitals) in real-time. The study by Guesalaga (2016) draws on interactional psy- chology theory to propose and test a model of usage of social media in sales, analysing individual, organizational, and customer-related factors. It was found that organizational competence and commitment to social media are key determi- nants of social media usage in sales, as well as individual commitment. Customer engagement with social media also predicts social media usage in sales, both directly and (mostly) through the individual and organizational factors

analysed, especially organizational competence and commit- ment. Finally, the study found evidence of synergistic effects between individual competence and commitment, which is not found at the organizational level. The data obtained by surveying 220 sales executives in the United States were analysed using regression analysis. Habibi et al. (2015) proposed a conceptual model for the implementation of social media by B2B companies. Based on existing B2B marketing, social media and organisational ori- entational literature the study proposed that four components of electronic market orientation (philosophical, initiation, im- plementation and adoption) address different implementation issues faced in implementing social media. Katona and Sarvary (2014) presented a case of using social media by Maersk-the largest container shipping company in the world. The case provided details on the program launch and the integration strategy which focused on integrating the largest independent social media operation into the company's broader marketing efforts. Moore et al. (2013) provided insights into the understand- ing of the use of social media by salespersons. 395 salespeople in B2B and B2C markets, utilization of relationship-oriented social media applications are presented and examined. Overall, findings show that B2B practitioners tend to use me- dia targeted at professionals whereas their B2C counterparts tend to utilize more sites targeted to the general public for engaging in one-on-one dialogue with their customers. Moreover, B2B professionals tend to use relationship- oriented social media technologies more than B2C profes- sionals for the purpose of prospecting, handling objections, and after-sale follow-up. Moore et al. (2015) investigated the use of social media between B2B and B2C salespeople. By using survey data from 395 sales professionals from different industries they found that B2B sales managers use social selling tools

significantly more frequently than B2C managers and B2C sales representatives while conducting sales presentations. Also, it was found that B2B managers used social selling tools significantly more frequently than all sales representatives while closing sales. Müller et al. (2013) investigated social media use in the German automotive market. By using online analysis of 10 most popular car manufacturers online social net- works and surveys of six manufacturers, 42 car dealers, 199 buyers the study found that social media communi- cation relations are widely established between manufac- turers and (prospective) buyers and only partially established between car dealers and prospective buyers. In contrast to that, on the B2B side, social media com- munication is rarely used. Social Online Networks (SONs) are the most popular social media channels employed by businesses. Manufacturers and car dealers focus their social media engagement, especially on Facebook. From the perspective of prospective buyers, however, forums are the most important source of information. Sułkowski and Kaczorowska-Spychalska (2016) investi- gated the adoption of social media by companies in the Polish textile-clothing industry. By interviewing seven companies representatives of small and medium-sized en- terprises the study found that companies started implementing social media activities in their marketing activities. Vukanovic (2013) by reviewing previous literature on so- cial media outlined advantages of using social media for B2B companies, which include: increase customer loyalty and trust, building and improving corporate reputation, facilitating open communications, improvement in customer engagement to name a few. Keinänen and Kuivalainen (2015) investigated factors af- fecting the use of social media by B2B customers by conducting an online survey among 82 key customer accounts of an information technology service company. Partial least squares path modelling was used to analysed the proposed hypotheses. It was found that social media private use, col- league support for using SM, age, job position affected the use of social media by B2B customers. The study also found that corporate culture, gender, easiness to use, and perception of usability did not affect the use of social media by B2B customers. By using interviews and survey social media research found that mostly B2B companies use social media to enhance customer relationships, support sales, build their brands, sense market, manage relationships, and develop content. Additionally, some companies use it social media as a recruit- ment tool. The main difference between B2B and B2C was that B2B sales managers use social selling tools significantly more frequently than B2C managers.

3.5 Measuring the Effectiveness of Social Media

It is important for a business to be able to measure the effec- tiveness of social media by calculating return on investment (ROI). ROI is the relationship between profit and the invest- ment that generate that profit. Some studies focused on the ways B2B companies can measure ROI and the challenges they face (Gazal et al. 2016; Michaelidou et al. 2011; Vasudevan and Kumar 2018). For example, Gazal et al. (2016) investigated the adoption and measuring of the effec- tiveness of social media in the context of the US forest indus- try by using organisational-level adoption framework and TAM. By using data from 166 companies it was found that 94% of respondents do not measure the ROI from social me- dia use. The reason is that the use of social media in marketing is relatively new and companies do not possess the knowledge of measuring ROI from the use of social media. Companies mostly use quantitative metrics (number of site visits, number of social network friends, number of comments and profile views) and qualitative metrics (growth of relationships with the key audience, audience participation, moving from mono- logue to dialogue with consumers). Another study by Michaelidou et al. (2011) found that most of the companies do not evaluate the effectiveness of their SNS in supporting their brand. The most popular measures were the number of users joining the groups/discussion and the number of comments made. Vasudevan and Kumar (2018) investigated how B2B com- panies use social media and measure ROI from social media by analysing 325 brand posts of Canon India, Epson India, and HP India on LinkedIn, Facebook, and Twitter. By employing content analysis the study found that most of the post has a combination of text and message. More than 50% of the posts were about product or brand-centric. The study ar- gued that likes proved to be an unreliable measure of engage- ment, while shares were considered a more reliable metric. The reason was that likes had high spikes when brand posts were boosted during promotional activities. Future research should conduct longitudinal studies. By reviewing the above studies, it can be concluded that companies still struggle to find ways of measuring ROI and applying correct metrics. By gaining knowledge in how to measure ROI from social media activities, B2B companies will be able to produce valuable insights leading to better marketing strategies (Lal et al. 2020).

3.6 Social Media Tools

Some studies proposed tools that could be employed by com- panies to advance their use of social media. For example, Mehmet and Clarke (2016) proposed a social semiotic multi- modal (SSMM) framework that improved the analysis of so- cial media communications. This framework employs

multimodal extensions to systemic functional linguistics en- abling it to be applying to analysing non-language as well as language constituents of social media messages. Furthermore, the framework also utilises expansion theory to identify, cat- egorise and analyse various marketing communication re- sources associated with marketing messages and also to reveal how conversations are chained together to form extended on- line marketing conversations. This semantic approach is ex- emplified using a Fairtrade Australia B2B case study demon- strating how marketing conversations can be mapped and analysed. The framework emphasises the importance of ac- knowledging the impact of all stakeholders, particularly mes- sages that may distract or confuse the original purpose of the conversation. Yang et al. (2012) proposed the temporal analysis tech- nique to identify user relationships on social media platforms. The experiment was conducted by using data from Digg.com. The results showed that the proposed techniques achieved substantially higher recall but not very good at precision. This technique will help companies to identify their future consumers based on their user relationships. Based on the literature review, it can be seen that B2B companies can benefit by using the discussed tools. However, it is important to consider that employee should have some technical skills and knowledge to use these tools successfully. As a result, companies will need to invest some resources in staff training.

4 Weight Analysis

Weight analysis enables scrutiny of the predictive power of in- dependent variables in studied relationships and the degree of effectiveness of the relationships (Jeyaraj et al. 2006; Rana et al. 2015; Ismagilova et al. 2020a). The results of weight anal- ysis are depicted in Table 3 providing information about an in- dependent variable, dependent variable, number of significant relationships, number of non-significant relationships, the total number of relationships and weight. To perform weight analysis, the number of significant relationships was divided by the total number of analysed relationships between the independent vari- able and the dependent variable (Jeyaraj et al. 2006; Rana et al. 2015). For example, the weight for the relationship between attitude towards social media and social media is calculated by dividing '1' (the number of significant relationships) by '2' (the total number of relationships) which equals 0.5. A predictor is defined as well-utilised if it was examined five or more times, otherwise, it is defined as experimental. It can be seen from Table 3 that all relationships were examined less than five times. Thus all studied predictors are experimen- tal. The predictor is defined as promising when it has been examined less than five times by existing studies but has a weight equal to '1' (Jeyaraj et al. 2006). From the predictors

Table 3 Results of weight analysis

Independent variable	Dependent variable	Number of significant results	Number of non-significant re- sults	Total number of tests	Weight
Innovativeness	Adoption of social media	3	1	4	0.75
Technical skills of employees		2	0	2	1
Pressure from stakeholders		3	0	3	1
Perceived usefulness		2	1	3	0.67
Perceived usability		2	1	3	0.67
Social media usage	Customer relationships	3	1	4	0.75
Acquiring new customers		3	0	3	1
Sales		2	0	2	1
Stakeholder engagement		3	0	3	1
Customer satisfaction		4	0	4	1
Perceived ease of use	Social media usage	3	0	3	1
Age of salesperson		2	0	2	1
Attitude towards social media		1	1	2	0.5

affecting the adoption of social media, it can be seen that two are promising, technical skills of employees and pressure from stakeholders. Social media usage is a promising predictor for acquiring new customers, sales, stakeholder engagement and customer satisfaction. Perceived ease of use and age of sales- person are promising predictors of social media usage. Even though this relationship was found to be significant every time it was examined, it is suggested that this variable, which can also be referred to as experimental, will need to be further tested in order to qualify as the best predictor. Another pre- dictor, average rating of product/service, was examined less than five times with a weight equal to 0.75, thus it is consid- ered as an experimental predictor. Figure 1 shows the diagrammatic representation of the fac- tors affecting different relationships in B2B social media with their corresponding weights, based on the results of weight analysis. The findings suggest that promising predictors should be included in further empirical studies to determine their overall performance. It can be seen from Fig. 1 that social media usage is affected by internal (e.g. attitude towards social media, technical skills of employees) and external factors (e.g. pressure from stake- holders) of the company. Also, the figure depicts the effect of social media on the business (e.g. sales) and society (e.g. cus- tomer satisfaction).

5 Discussion

In reviewing the publications gathered for this paper, the fol- lowing themes were identified. Some studies investigated the

effect of social media use by B2B companies. By using mostly survey to collect the data from salespeople and managers, the studies found that social media has a positive effect on number of outcomes important for the business such as customer sat- isfaction, value creation, intention to buy and sales, customer relationships, brand awareness, knowledge creation, corporate credibility, acquiring new customers, salespersons perfor- mance, employee brand management, and sustainability. Most of the outcomes are similar to the research on social media in the context of B2C companies. However, some of the outcomes are unique for B2B context (e.g. employee brand management, company credibility). Just recently, stud- ies started investigating the impact of the use of social media on sustainability. Another group of studies looked at the adoption of social media by B2B companies (Buratti et al. 2018; Gáti et al. 2018; Gazal et al. 2016; Itani et al. 2017; Kumar and Möller 2018). The studies investigated it mostly from the perspectives of salespersons and identify some of the key factors which affect the adoption, such as innovativeness, technical skills of em- ployees, pressure from stakeholders, perceived usefulness, and perceived usability. As these factors are derived mostly from surveys conducted with salespersons findings can be different for other individuals working in the organisation. This it is important to conduct studies that will examine fac- tors affecting the adoption of social media across the entire organisation, in different departments. Using social media as part of the digital transformation is much bigger than sales and marketing, it encompasses the entire company. Additionally, most of the studies were cross-sectional, which limits the un- derstanding of the adoption of social media by B2B over time

ndependent variable	Dependent variable	Number of significant results	Number of non-significant re- sults	Total number of tests	Weigh
nnovativeness	Adoption of social media	3	1	4	0.75
Technical skills of employees		2	0	2	1
Pressure from stakeholders		3	0	3	1
Perceived usefulness		2	1	3	0.67
Perceived usability		2	1	3	0.67
Social media usage	Customer relationships	3	1	4	0.75
	Acquiring new customers	3	0	3	1
	Sales	2	0	2	1
	Stakeholder engagement	3	0	3	1
	Customer satisfaction	4	0	4	1
Perceived ease of use	Social media usage	3	0	3	1
Age of salesperson		2	0	2	1
Attitude towards social		1	1	2	0.5

Innovaveness

Technical skills of employees

Pressure from stakeholders

Perceived usefulness

Perceived usability

Fig. 1 Diagrammatic representation of results of weight analysis. Note: experimental predictors

depending on the outcomes and environment (e.g. competitors using social media). Some studies looked at social media strategies of B2B compa- nies (Cawsey and Rowley 2016; Huotari et al. 2015; Kasper et al. 2015; McShane et al. 2019; Mudambi et al. 2019). By employing interviewswithcompanies'managersandanalysingitssocialme- diaplatforms(e.g.Twitter)itwasfoundthatmostofthecompanies follow the following strategies: 1) monitoring and listening 2) empoweringandengagingemployees3)creatingcompellingcon- tent4)stimulatingeWOM5)evaluatingandselectingchannels6) enhancing brand presence through integrating social media (CawseyandRowley2016).Somestudiesinvestigatedthediffer- encebetweensocialmediastrategiesofB2BandB2Ccompanies. For example, a study by Swani et al. (2017) focused on effective socialmediastrategies.Byapplyingpsychologicalmotivationthe- orythestudyexaminedthekeydifferencesinB2BandB2Csocial media message strategies in terms of branding, message appeals, sellingandinformationsearch.ThestudyusedFacebookpostson brand pages of 280 Fortune companies. In total, 1467 posts were analysed. By using Bayesian models, the results showed that the inclusion of corporate brand names, functional and emotional ap- pealsandinformationsearchcuesincreasesthepopularityofB2B messages in comparison with B2C messages. Also, it was found thatreadersofB2Bcontentshowahighermessagelikingrateand lower message commenting rate in comparison with readers of B2C messages. The next group of studies looked at social media use by B2B companies (Andersson et al. 2013; Bernard 2016; Bolat et al. 2016; Denktaş-Şakar and Sürücü 2018; Dyck 2010; Guesalaga 2016; Habibi et al. 2015). B2B companies use social media for enhancing and managing customer relation- ships (Andersson and Wikström 2017; Bolat et al. (2016); branding (Andersson and Wikström 2017; Bolat et al. 2016), sensing market (Bolat et al. 2016)

and co-production (Chirumalla et al. 2018). Additionally, it was mentioned that some of the B2B companies use social media as a recruiting

Perceived ease of use

0.75

1.00

Adopon of social media

1.00

0.67

Atude towards social media

0.67

Age of salesperson

1.00

1.00

Social media usage

0.50

1.00

tool, and tool which helps to collaborate globally (Andersson and Wikström 2017; Dyck 2010). It is important for companies to not only use social media to achieve positive business outcomes but also it is important to measure their achievements. As a result, some of the studies focused on the measuring effectiveness of social media (Gazal et al. 2016; Michaelidou et al. 2011; Vasudevan and Kumar 2018). Surprisingly, it was found that not so many companies measure ROI from social media (Gazal et al. 2016; Michaelidou et al. 2011). The ones who do it mostly use quantitative metrics (number of site visits, number of social network friends, number of comments and profile views) and qualitative metrics (growth of relationships with key audience, audience participation, moving from monologue to dialogue with consumers) (Gazal et al. 2016). Some future studies should investigate how ROI influences the strategy of B2B companies over period of time. The last group of studies focused on social media tools used by B2B companies (Keinänen and Kuivalainen 2015; Mehmet and Clarke 2016; Yang et al. 2012). By using number of social media tools (Social Semiotic Multimodal) companies are able to improve their analysis of social media communications and identify their future consumers based on their user relation- ships. Studies investigating barriers and factors adoption of various social media tools by B2B companies are needed. After reviewing studies on b2B social media, weight anal- ysis was performed. Based on the results of weight analysis the conceptual model for future studies was proposed (Fig. 2). It is important to note that a limited number of studies focused and empirically tested factors affecting the adoption, use, and effect of social media. As a result, identified factors were considered as experimental (examined less than five times). It is too early to label these experimental predictors as worst or best, thus their further investigation is encouraged. Additionally, our review of the literature on B2B social media identified dominant research methods used by scholars.

Customer relaonships

0.75

Acquiring new customers

1.00

Sales

1.00

1.00

Stakeholders engagement

1.00

Customer sasfacon

Social media stakeholders

Company

_____

Society

_____

Fig. 2 Social media impact on digital transformation and sustainable societies

Qualitative and quantitative techniques were used by most of these studies. Closer analysis of 70 publications reviewed in this study revealed the multiple techniques applied for gathering data. Quantitative methods used in the studies mostly used surveys (see Table 4). The data was mostly gathered from salespersons, managers and data from social media platforms (e.g. Twitter, Facebook). Just a limited number of studies employed consumer reported data (see Table 5). On the other hand, publications using qualitative methods mainly used interviews and web scraping for the collection of the required data. To analyse the data studies used a variety of

Table 4 Data collection methods used in studies on social media in B2B context Data collection methods Source(s)

Use of Social media by b2b companies

Antecedents/Barriers of social media adopon

Social Media tools

Social media strategies

Measuring effecveness of use of social media

Digital transformaon and sustainable sociees

Survey Agnihotri et al. 2012; Agnihotri et al. 2016; Agnihotri et al. 2017; Gáti et al. 2018; Gazal et al. 2016; Guesalaga 2016; Iankova et al. 2018; Itani et al. 2017; Keinänen and Kuivalainen 2015; Kumar and Möller 2018; Lacka and Chong 2016; Michaelidou et al. 2011; Moore et al. 2013; Moore et al. 2015; Müller et al. 2013; Müller et al. 2018; Niedermeier et al. 2016; Rodriguez et al. 2012; Rossmann and Stei 2015; Shaltoni 2017; Siamagka et al. 2015

Interviews Ancillai et al. 2019; Andersson and Wikström 2017; Bolat et al. 2016; Cawsey and Rowley 2016; Chirumalla et al. 2018; Huotari et al. 2015; Kasper et al. 2015; Lashgari et al. 2018; Niedermeier et al. 2016; Siamagka et al. 2015; Sułkowski and Kaczorowska-Spychalska 2016

Web scrubbing Bell and Shirzad 2013; Bhattacharjya and Ellison 2015; Buratti et al. 2018; Denktaş-Şakar and Sürücü 2018; Gruner and Power 2018; Hsiao et al. 2020; McShane et al. 2019; Mehmet and Clarke 2016; Meire et al. 2017; Pitt et al. 2017; Pitt et al. 2018; Swani et al. 2013; Swani et al. 2014; Swani et al. 2017; Vasudevan and Kumar 2018

Experiment Yang et al. 2012

techniques including SEM, regression analysis and content analysis being one of the most used (see Table 6).

### 5.1 Digital Transformation and Sustainability Model

Based on the conducted literature review and adapting the model by Pappas et al. (2018) Fig. 2 presents the digital trans- formation and sustainability model in the context of B2B com- panies, which conceptualise the social media ecosystems, and the factors that need to

collaborate to enable the use of social media towards the achievement of digital transformation and the creation of sustainable societies. The model comprises of

Effect of social media

Business change

Society change

Use of Social media by b2b companies — Antecedents/Barriers of social media adoption; Social Media tools; Social media strategies; Measuring effectiveness of use of social media. Social media stakeholders: Company, Society. Effect of social media: Business change, Society change. Digital transformation and sustainable societies.

Survey	Agnihotri et al. 2012; Agnihotri et al. 2016; Agnihotri et al. 2017; Gáti et al. 2018; Gazal et al. 2016; Guesalaga 2016; Iankova et al. 2018; Itani et al. 2017; Keinänen and Kuivalainen 2015; Kumar and Möller 2018; Lacka and Chong 2016; Michaelidou et al. 2011; Moore et al. 2013; Moore et al. 2015; Müller et al. 2013; Müller et al. 2018; Niedermeier et al. 2016; Rodriguez et al. 2012; Rossmann and Stei 2015; Shaltoni 2017; Siamagka et al. 2015
Interviews	Ancillai et al. 2019; Andersson and Wikström 2017; Bolat et al. 2016; Cawsey and Rowley 2016; Chirumalla et al. 2018; Huotari et al. 2015; Kasper et al. 2015; Lashgari et al. 2018; Niedermeier et al. 2016; Siamagka et al. 2015; Sułkowski and Kaczorowska-Spychalska 2016
Web scrubbing	Bell and Shirzad 2013; Bhattacharjya and Ellison 2015; Buratti et al. 2018; Denktaş-Şakar and Sürücü 2018; Gruner and Power 2018; Hsiao et al. 2020; McShane et al. 2019; Mehmet and Clarke 2016; Meire et al. 2017; Pitt et al. 2017; Pitt et al. 2018; Swani et al. 2013; Swani et al. 2014; Swani et al. 2017; Vasudevan and Kumar 2018
Experiment	Yang et al. 2012

Table 5 Types of data used in studies on social media in B2B context

Type of data Source(s)

Salesperson reported data Agnihotri et al. 2016; Gáti et al. 2018; Itani et al. 2017; Moore et al. 2013; Moore et al. 2015; Müller et al. 2013; Niedermeier et al. 2016; Ogilvie et al. 2018; Rodriguez et al. 2012; Rossmann and Stei 2015; Sułkowski and Kaczorowska-Spychalska 2016

Customers reported data Müller et al. 2013; Rossmann and Stei 2015

Manager reported data Andersson and Wikström 2017; Bolat et al. 2016; Cawsey and Rowley 2016; Guesalaga 2016; Huotari et al. 2015; Itani et al. 2017; Kasper et al. 2015; Keinänen and Kuivalainen 2015; Lashgari et al. 2018; Moore et al. 2015; Müller et al. 2013; Shaltoni 2017

Data from social media platforms Bell and Shirzad 2013; Bhattacharjya and Ellison 2015; Buratti et al. 2018; Denktaş-Şakar and Sürücü 2018; Gruner and Power 2018; Hsiao et al. 2020; McShane et al. 2019; Mehmet and Clarke 2016; Meire et al. 2017; Pitt et al. 2017; Pitt et al. 2018; Swani et al. 2013; Swani et al. 2014; Swani et al. 2017; Vasudevan and Kumar 2018

Website data Yang et al. 2012

Case study Bernard 2016; Chirumalla et al. 2018 Katona and Sarvary 2014

social media stakeholders, the use of social media by B2B companies, and effect of social media on business and society.

5.1.1 Social Media Stakeholders

Building on the discussion and model provided by Pappas et al. (2018), this paper posits that the social media ecosystem comprises of the data stakeholders (company, society), who engage on social media (posting, reading, using information

Table 6 Methods of analysis used in studies on social media in B2B context Methods of analysis Source(s)

SEM using EQS Agnihotri et al. 2016

SEM using LISREL Rossmann and Stei 2015

SEM using Smart PLS Agnihotri et al. 2017; Gáti et al. 2018; Iankova et al. 2018; Itani et al. 2017; Keinänen and Kuivalainen 2015

SEM AMOS Lacka and Chong 2016; Rodriguez et al. 2012; Siamagka et al. 2015

Content analysis Ancillai et al. 2019; Bell and Shirzad 2013; Bolat et al. 2016; Denktaş-Şakar and Sürücü 2018; McShane et al. 2019; Pitt et al. 2017; Pitt et al. 2018; Swani et al. 2013; Swani et al. 2014; Vasudevan and Kumar 2018

Sentiment analysis Bhattacharjya and Ellison 2015

Regression analysis Gazal et al. 2016; Gruner and Power 2018; Guesalaga 2016; Hsiao et al. 2020; Meire et al. 2017; Swani et al. 2014; Swani et al. 2017

Descriptive analysis Buratti et al. 2018; Kumar and Möller 2018; Müller et al. 2013; Niedermeier et al. 2016; Shaltoni 2017; Sułkowski and Kaczorowska-Spychalska 2016

Themed cluster analysis Mehmet and Clarke 2016

Temporal coherence analysis Yang et al. 2012

Andersson and Wikström 2017

Integrated comparative and

within case analysis

Correlation Kumar and Möller 2018; Michaelidou et al. 2011; Moore et al. 2013; Moore et al. 2015; Müller et al. 2018

MANOVA Moore et al. 2015

Thematic analysis Cawsey and Rowley 2016; Chirumalla et al. 2018

ANOVA Müller et al. 2018

from social media). The use of social media by different stake- holders will lead to different effects affecting companies, cus- tomers and society. This is an iterative process based on which the stakeholders use their experience to constantly improve and evolve their use of social media, which has impacts on both, business and society. The successful implementation of this process is key to digital transformation and the creation of sustainable societies. Most of the current studies (Andersson et al. 2013; Bernard 2016; Bolat et al. 2016; Denktaş-Şakar

SEM using EQS	Agnihotri et al. 2016
SEM using LISREL	Rossmann and Stei 2015
SEM using Smart PLS	Agnihotri et al. 2017; Gáti et al. 2018; Iankova et al. 2018; Itani et al. 2017; Keinänen and Kuivalainen 2015
SEM AMOS	Lacka and Chong 2016; Rodriguez et al. 2012; Siamagka et al. 2015
Content analysis	Ancillai et al. 2019; Bell and Shirzad 2013; Bolat et al. 2016; Denktaş-Şakar and Sürücü 2018; McShane et al. 2019; Pitt et al. 2017; Pitt et al. 2018; Swani et al. 2013; Swani et al. 2014; Vasudevan and Kumar 2018
Sentiment analysis	Bhattacharjya and Ellison 2015
Regression analysis	Gazal et al. 2016; Gruner and Power 2018; Guesalaga 2016; Hsiao et al. 2020; Meire et al. 2017; Swani et al. 2014; Swani et al. 2017
Descriptive analysis	Buratti et al. 2018; Kumar and Möller 2018; Müller et al. 2013; Niedermeier et al. 2016; Shaltoni 2017; Sułkowski and Kaczorowska-Spychalska 2016
Themed cluster analysis	Mehmet and Clarke 2016
Temporal coherence analysis	Yang et al. 2012
Integrated comparative and	Andersson and Wikström 2017
Within case analysis	
Correlation	Kumar and Möller 2018; Michaelidou et al. 2011; Moore et al. 2013; Moore et al. 2015; Müller et al. 2018
MANOVA	Moore et al. 2015
Thematic analysis	Cawsey and Rowley 2016; Chirumalla et al. 2018
ANOVA	Müller et al. 2018

Type of data	Source(s)
Salesperson reported data	Agnihotri et al. 2016; Gáti et al. 2018; Itani et al. 2017; Moore et al. 2013; Moore et al. 2015; Müller et al. 2013; Niedermeier et al. 2016; Ogilvie et al. 2018; Rodriguez et al. 2012; Rossmann and Stei 2015; Sułkowski and Kaczorowska-Spychalska 2016
Customers reported data	Müller et al. 2013; Rossmann and Stei 2015
Manager reported data	Andersson and Wikström 2017; Bolat et al. 2016; Cawsey and Rowley 2016; Guesalaga 2016; Huotari et al. 2015; Itani et al. 2017; Kasper et al. 2015; Keinänen and Kuivalainen 2015; Lashgari et al. 2018; Moore et al. 2015; Müller et al. 2013; Shaltoni 2017
Data from social media platforms	Bell and Shirzad 2013; Bhattacharjya and Ellison 2015; Buratti et al. 2018; Denktaş-Şakar and Sürücü 2018; Gruner and Power 2018; Hsiao et al. 2020; McShane et al. 2019; Mehmet and Clarke 2016; Meire et al. 2017; Pitt et al. 2017; Pitt et al. 2018; Swani et al. 2013; Swani et al. 2014; Swani et al. 2017; Vasudevan and Kumar 2018
Website data	Yang et al. 2012
Case study	Bernard 2016; Chirumalla et al. 2018 Katona and Sarvary 2014

and Sürücü 2018; Dyck 2010; Guesalaga 2016) focus mostly on the company as a stakeholder. However, more research is needed on other types of stakeholders (e.g. society).

5.1.2 Use of Social Media by B2B Companies

Social media affects not only ways how companies connect with their clients, but it is also changing their business models, the way how the value is delivered and profit is made. To successfully implement and use social media, B2B companies need to consider various social media tools, antecedents/ barriers of its adoption, identify suitable social media strate- gies which are in line with the company's overall strategy, and

measure effectiveness of the use of social media. There are various factors that affect the use of social media by B2B companies. The study found that social media usage is influ- enced by perceived ease of use, adoption of social media, attitude towards social media and age of salesperson. The majority of the studies focus on the management of the marketing department. However, digital transformation is much bigger than just marketing as it encompasses the entire organisation. As a result, future studies should look like the entire organisation and investigate barriers and factors affect- ing the use of social media. It is crucial for companies to design content which will be noticed on social media by their potential, actual and former customers. Social media content should be interesting and offer some beneficial information, rather than just focus on services the company provides. Companies could use fresh views on relevant industry news, provide information how they are contributing to society and environment, include hu- mour in their posts, share information about the team, make it more personal. It is also useful to use images, infographics, and video content. It is also important for companies to measure digital mar- keting actions. More studies are needed on how to isolate the impact of specific media marketing actions to demonstrate their impact on the desired business outcomes (Salo 2017). Thus, future studies can consider how particular social media channels (e.g. Facebook, LinkedIn) in a campaign of a new product/ service influence brand awareness and sales level. Also, a limited number of studies discussed the way B2B companies can measure ROI. Future research should investi- gate how companies can measure intangible ROI, such as ewOM, brand awareness, and customer engagement (Kumar and Mirchandani 2012). Also, future research should investigate the reasons why most of the users do not assess the effectiveness of their SNS. Furthermore, most of the studies focused on likes, shares, and comments to evaluate social media engagement. Future research should focus on other types of measures. More research needs considering the im- pact of legislation on the use of social media by companies. Recent B2B studies did not consider recent legislation

(General Data Protection Regulation 2018) in the context B2B (Sivarajah et al. 2019).

5.1.3 Effect of Social Media on Business and Society

Social media plays an important part in the company's decision-making process. Social media can bring posi- tive changes into company, which will result in improv- ing customer satisfaction, value creation, increase in sales, building relationships with customers, knowledge creation, improve the perception of corporate credibility, acquisition of new customers, and improve employment brand engagement. Using information collected from so- cial media can help companies to have a set of reliable attributes that comprise social, economic and environ- mental aspects in their decision-making process (Tseng 2017). Additionally, by using social media B2B compa- nies can provide information to other stakeholders on their sustainability activities. By using data from social media companies will be able to provide products and services which are demanded by society. It will improve the quality of life and result in less waste. Additionally, social media can be considered as a tool that helps managers to integrate business practices with sustain- ability (Sivarajah et al. 2019). As a result, social media use by B2B companies can lead to business and societal changes. A limited number of studies investigated the effect of social media on word of mouth communications in the B2B context. Future research should investigate the differences and similar- ities between B2C and B2B ewOM communications. Also, studies should investigate how these types of communications can be improved and ways to deal with negative ewOM. It is important for companies to respond to comments on social media. Additionally, future research should investigate its per- ceived helpfulness by customers. Majority of studies (Agnihotri et al. 2016; Ancillai et al. 2019; Rossmann and Stei 2015; Agnihotri et al. 2012; Agnihotri et al. 2017; Itani et al. 2017; Salo 2017; Bhattacharjya and Ellison 2015; Gáti et al. 2018; Gruner and Power 2018; Hollebeek 2019) investigated positive effect of social media such consumer satisfaction, consumer engage- ment, and brand awareness. However, it will be interesting to consider the dark side of social media use such as an ex- cessive number of requests on social media to salespeople (Agnihotri et al. 2016), which can result in the reduction of the responsiveness; spread of misinformation which can dam- age the reputation of the company. Studies were performed in China (Lacka and Chong 2016; Niedermeier et al. 2016), the USA (Guesalaga 2016; Iankova et al. 2018; Ogilvie et al. 2018), India (Agnihotri et al. 2017; Vasudevan and Kumar 2018), the UK (Bolat et al. 2016; Iankova et al. 2018; Michaelidou et al. 2011). It is strongly

advised that future studies conduct research in other countries as findings can be different due to the culture and social media adoption rates. Future studies should pay particular attention to other emerging markets (such as Russia, Brazil, and South Africa) as they suffer from the slow adoption rate of social media marketing. Some companies in these countries still rely more on traditional media for advertising of their products and services, as they are more trusted in comparison with social media channels (Olotewo 2016). The majority of studies in- vestigate the effect of social media in B2B or B2C context. Future studies should pay attention to other contexts (e.g. B2B2B, B2B2C). Another limitation of the current research on B2B companies is that most of the studies on social media in the context of B2B focus on the effect of social media use only on business outcomes. It is important for future research to focus on societal outcomes. Lastly, most of the studies on social media in the context of B2B companies use a cross-sectional approach to collect the data. Future research can use the longitudinal approach in order to advance understanding of social media use and its impact over time.

5.2 Research Propositions

Based on the social media research in the context of B2B companies and the discussion above the following is pro- posed, which could serve as a foundation for future empirical work. Social media is a powerful tool to deliver information to customers. However, social media can be used to get consum- er and market insights (Kazienko et al. 2013). A number of studies highlighted how information obtained from a number of social media platforms could be used for various marketing purposes, such as understanding the needs and preferences of consumers, marketing potential for new products/services, and current market trends (Agnihotri et al. 2016; Constantinides et al. 2008). It is advised that future research

employs a longitudinal approach to study the impact of social media use on understanding customers. Therefore, the following proposition can be formulated:

Proposition 1 Social media usage of B2B companies has a positive influence on understanding its customers. By using social media companies can examiner valuable information on competitors. It can help to understand competitors' habits and strategies, which can lead to the competitive advantage and help strategic planning (Dey et al. 2011; Eid et al. 2019; Teo and Choo 2001). It is advised that future research employs a longitudinal approach to study the impact of social media use on understanding its competitors. As a result, using social media to understand customers and competitors can create business value (Mikalef et al. 2020a) for key stakeholders and lead to

positive changes in the business and societies. The above discussion leads to the following proposition:

Proposition 2 Social media usage of B2B companies has a positive influence on understanding its competitors.

Proposition 3 Culture influences the adoption and use of social media by B2B companies. Usage of social media can result in some positive marketing outcomes such as building new customer relationships, increasing brand awareness, and level of sales to name a few (Agnihotri et al. 2016; Ancillai et al. 2019; Dwivedi et al. 2020; Rossmann and Stei 2015). However, when social media is not used appropriately it can lead to negative consequences. If a company does not have enough resources to implement social media tools the burden usually comes on a salesperson. A high number of customer inquiries, the pressure to engage with customers on social media, and monitor communications happening on various social media platforms can result in the increased workload of a salesperson putting extra pressure (Agnihotri et al. 2016). As a result, a salesperson might not have enough time to engage with all the customers online promptly or engage in reactive and proactive web care. As a result, customer satisfaction can be affected as well as company reputation. To investigate the negative impact of social media research could apply novel methods for data collection and analysis such as fsQCA (Pappas et al. 2020), or implying eye-tracking (Mikalef et al. 2020b). This leads to the following proposition:

Proposition 4 Inappropriate use of social media by B2B companies has a negative effect on a) customer satisfaction and b) company reputation. According to Technology-organisation-Environment (TOE) framework environmental context significantly affects a company's use of innovations (Abed 2020; Oliveira and Martins 2011). Environment refers to the factors which affect companies from outside, including competitors and customers. Adopting innovation can help companies to change the rules of the competition and reach a competitive advantage (Porter and Millar 1985). In a competitive environment, companies have a tendency to adopt an innovation. AlSharji et al. (2018) argued that the adoption of innovation can be extended to social media use by companies. A study by AlSharji et al. (2018) by using data from 1700 SMEs operating in the United Arab Emirates found that competitive pressure significantly affects the use of social media by SMEs. It can be explained by the fact that companies could feel pressure when other companies in the industry start adopting a particular technology and as a result adopt it to remain competitive (Kuan and Chau 2001). Based on the above discussion, the following proposition can be formulated:

Proposition 5 Competitive pressure positively affects the adoption of social media by B2B companies. Companies might feel that they are forced to adopt and use IT innovations because their customers would expect them to do so. Meeting customers' expectations could result in adoption of new technologies by B2B companies. Some research studies investigated the impact of customer pressure on companies (AlSharji et al. 2018; Maduku et al. 2016). For example, a study by Maduku et al. (2016) found that customer pressure has a positive effect on SMEs adoption of mobile marketing in the context of South Africa. Future research could implement longitudinal approach to investigate how environment affects adoption of social media by B2B companies. This leads to the formulation of the following proposition:

Proposition 6 Customer pressure positively affects the adoption of social media by B2B companies.

6 Conclusion

The aim of this research was to provide a comprehensive systematic review of the literature on social media in the context of B2B companies and propose the framework outlining the role of social media in the digital transformation of B2B companies. It was found that B2B companies use social media, but not all companies consider it as part of their marketing strategies. The studies on social media in the B2B context focused on the effect of social media, antecedents, and barriers of adoption of social media, social media strategies, social media use, and measuring the effectiveness of social media. Academics and practitioners can employ the current study as an informative framework for research on the use of social media by B2B companies. The summary of the key observations provided from this literature review is the following [i] Facebook, Twitter, and LinkedIn are the most famous social media platforms used by B2B companies, [ii] Social media has a positive effect on customer satisfaction, acquisition of new customers, sales, stakeholder engagement, and customer relationships, [iii] In systematically reviewing 70 publications on social media in the context of B2B companies it was observed that most of the studies use online surveys and online content analysis, [iv] Companies still look for ways to evaluate the effectiveness of social media, [v] Innovativeness, pressure from stakeholders, perceived usefulness, and perceived usability have a significant positive effect on companies' adoption to use social media, [vi] Lack of staff familiarity and technical skills are the main barriers that affect the adoption of social media by B2B, [vii] Social media has an impact not only on business but also on society, [viii] There is a dark side of social media: fake online reviews, an excessive number of requests on social media to salespeople, distribution of

misinformation, negative ewOM, [ix] Use of social media by companies has a positive effect on sustainability, and [x] For successful digital transformation social media should change not only the way how companies integrate it into their marketing strategies but the way how companies deliver values to their customers and conduct their business. This research has a number of limitations. First, only publications from the Scopus database were included in literature analysis and synthesis. Second, this research did not use meta-analysis. To provide a broader picture of the research on social media in the B2B context and reconcile conflicting findings of the existing studies future research should conduct a meta-analysis (Ismagilova et al. 2020c). It will advance knowledge of the social media domain.

References

Abed, S. S. (2020). Social commerce adoption using TOE framework: An empirical investigation of Saudi Arabian SMEs. International Journal of Information Management, 53, 102118. Agnihotri, R., Kothandaraman, P., Kashyap, R., & Singh, R. (2012). Bringing "social" into sales: The impact of salespeople's social me- dia use on service behaviors and value creation. Journal of Personal Selling and Sales Management, 32(3), 333-348. https://doi.org/10. 2753/PSS0885-3134320304. Agnihotri, R., Dingus, R., Hu, M. Y., & Krush, M. T. (2016). Social media: Influencing customer satisfaction in B2B sales. Industrial Marketing Management, 53, 172-180. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. indmarman.2015.09.003. Agnihotri, R., Trainor, K. J., Itani, O. S., & Rodriguez, M. (2017). Examining the role of sales-based CRM technology and social me- dia use on post-sale service behaviors in India. Journal of Business Research, 81, 144-154. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2017.08. 021. Alalwan, A. A., Rana, N. P., Dwivedi, Y. K., & Algharabat, R. (2017). Social media in marketing: A review and analysis of the existing literature. Telematics and Informatics, 34(7), 1177-1190. AlSharji, A., Ahmad, S. Z., & Bakar, A. R. A. (2018). Understanding social media adoption in SMEs. Journal of Entrepreneurship in Emerging Economies, 10(2), 302-328. Ancillai, C., Terho, H., Cardinali, S., & Pascucci, F. (2019). Advancing social media driven sales research: Establishing conceptual founda- tions for B-to-B social selling. Industrial Marketing Management, 82, 293-308. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.indmarman.2019.01.002.

Andersson, S., & Wikström, N. (2017). Why and how are social media used in a B2B context, and which stakeholders are involved? Journal of Business and Industrial Marketing, 32(8), 1098-1108. https://doi.org/10.1108/JBIM-07-2016-0148. Andersson, S., Evers, N., & Griot, C. (2013). Local and international networks in small firm internationalization: Cases from the Rhône- Alpes medical technology regional cluster. Entrepreneurship & Regional Development, 25(9-10), 867-888. Andzulis, J. M., Panagopoulos, N. G., & Rapp, A. (2012). A review of social media and implications for the sales process. Journal of Personal Selling & Sales Management, 32(3), 305-316. Barreda, A. A., Bilgihan, A., Nusair, K., & Okumus, F. (2015). Generating brand awareness in online social networks. Computers in Human Behavior, 50, 600-609. Bell, D., & Shirzad, S, R. (2013). Social media domain analysis (SoMeDoA): A pharmaceutical study. 9th international conference on web information systems and technologies, 561-570. https://doi. org/10.5220/0004499105610570. Bernard, M. (2016). The impact of social media on the B2B CMO. Journal of Business and Industrial Marketing, 31(8), 955-960. https://doi.org/10.1108/JBIM-10-2016-268. Bhattacharjya, J., & Ellison, A, B. (2015). Building business resilience with social media in B2B environments: The emergence of respon- sive customer relationship management processes on twitter. Working Conference on Virtual Enterprises doihttps://doi.org/10. 1007/978-3-319-24141-8_15. Bolat, E., Kooli, K., & Wright, L. T. (2016). Businesses and mobile social media capability. Journal of Business and Industrial Marketing, 31(8), 971-981. https://doi.org/10.1108/JBIM-10-2016-270. Buratti, N., Parola, F., & Satta, G. (2018). Insights on the adoption of social media marketing in B2B services. TQM Journal, 30(5), 490- 529. https://doi.org/10.1108/TQM-11-2017-0136. Cawsey, T., & Rowley, J. (2016). Social media brand building strategies in B2B companies. Marketing Intelligence and Planning, 34(6), 754-776. https://doi.org/10.1108/MIP-04-2015-0079. Chatterjee, S., & Kar, A. K. (2020). Why do small and medium enter- prises use social media marketing and what is the impact: Empirical insights from India. International Journal of Information Management, 53, 102103. Chen, H., Chiang, R. H., & Storey, V. C. (2012). Business intelligence and analytics: From big data to big impact. MIS Quarterly, 36, 1165-1188. Chirumalla, K., Oghazi, P., & Parida, V. (2018). Social media engage- ment strategy: Investigation of marketing and R&D interfaces in manufacturing industry. Industrial Marketing Management, 74, 138-149. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.indmarman.2017.10.001. Constantinides, E., Romero, C. L., & Boria, M. A. G. (2008). Social media: A new frontier for retailers?. In European retail research (pp. 1-28). Wiesbaden: Gabler Verlag. Denktaş-Şakar, G., & Sürücü, E. (2018). Stakeholder engagement via social media: An analysis of third-party logistics companies. Service Industries Journal, 40, 866-889. https://doi.org/10.1080/ 02642069.2018.1561874. Dey, L., Haque, S, M., Khurdiya, A., & Shroff, G. (2011). Acquiring competitive intelligence from social media. In proceedings of the 2011 joint workshop on multilingual OCR and analytics for noisy unstructured text data (pp. 1-9). https://doi.org/10.1145/2034617. 2034621. Dwivedi, Y. K., Rana, N. P., Jeyaraj, A., Clement, M., & Williams, M. D. (2019a). Re-examining the unified theory of acceptance and use of technology (UTAUT): Towards a revised theoretical model. Information Systems Frontiers, 21(3), 719-734. Dwivedi, Y, K., Hughes, L., Ismagilova, E., Aarts, G., Coombs, C., Crick, T., ... & Galanos, V. (2019b). Artificial Intelligence (AI): Multidisciplinary perspectives on emerging challenges, opportuni- ties, and agenda for research, practice and policy. International Journal of Information Management, 101994, doi: https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.ijinfomgt.2019.08.002. Dwivedi, Y, K., Ismagilova, E., Hughes, D. L., Carlson, J., Filieri, R., Jacobson, J., ... & Kumar, V. (2020). Setting the future of digital and social media marketing research: Perspectives and research propo- sitions. International Journal of Information Management, 102168, doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijinfomgt.2020.102168. Dyck, P. V. (2010). As social media evolves, the device industry must also. Medical Device and Diagnostic Industry, 32(8). Eid, R., Abdelmoety, Z., & Agag, G. (2019). Antecedents and conse- quences

of social media marketing use: An empirical study of the UK exporting B2B SMEs. Journal of Business & Industrial Marketing., 35, 284-305. Gáti, M., Mitev, A., & Bauer, A. (2018). Investigating the impact of salespersons' use of technology and social media on their customer relationship performance in B2B settings. Trziste, 30(2), 165-176. https://doi.org/10.22598/mt/2018.30.2.165. Gazal, K., Montague, I., Poudel, R., & Wiedenbeck, J. (2016). Forest products industry in a digital age: Factors affecting social media adoption. Forest Products Journal, 66(5-6), 343-353. https://doi.org/10.13073/FPJ-D-15-00007. General Data Protection Regulation (2018). Guide to the General Data Protection Regulation. Available at https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/guide-to-the-general-data-protection- regulation. Accessed 28 Jan 2021. Gregorio, J. (2017). 10 reasons to diversify your digital marketing efforts. Digital marketing Philippines. Available at https:// digitalmarketingphilippines.com/10-reasons-to-diversify-your- digital-marketing-efforts// Accessed on April, 27, 2019. Gruner, R. L., & Power, D. (2018). To integrate or not to integrate? Understanding B2B social media communications. Online Information Review, 42(1), 73-92. https://doi.org/10.1108/OIR-04- 2016-0116. Guesalaga, R. (2016). The use of social media in sales: Individual and organizational antecedents, and the role of customer engagement in social media. Industrial Marketing Management, 54, 71-79. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.indmarman.2015.12.002. Gupta, P., Chauhan, S., & Jaiswal, M. P. (2019). Classification of smart city research-a descriptive literature review and future research agenda. Information Systems Frontiers, 21(3), 661-685. Habibi, F., Hamilton, C. A., Valos, M. J., & Callaghan, M. (2015). E-marketing orientation and social media implementation in B2B mar- keting. European Business Review, 27(6), 638-655. https://doi.org/ 10.1108/EBR-03-2015-0026. Harrigan, P., Miles, M. P., Fang, Y., & Roy S. K. (2020). The role of social media in the engagement and information processes of social CRM. International Journal of Information Management, 54, 102151. Hollebeek, L. D. (2019). Developing business customer engagement through social media engagement-platforms: An integrative SD logic/RBV-informed model. Industrial Marketing Management, 81, 89-98. Hsiao, S. H., Wang, Y. Y., Wang, T., & Kao, T. W. (2020). How social media shapes the fashion industry: The spillover effects between private labels and national brands. Industrial Marketing Management, 86, 40-51. Huotari, L., Ulkuniemi, P., Saraniemi, S., & Mäläskä, M. (2015). Analysis of content creation in social media by B2B companies. Journal of Business and Industrial Marketing, 30(6), 761-770. https://doi.org/10.1108/JBIM-05-2013-0118. Iankova, S., Davies, I., Archer-Brown, C., Marder, B., & Yau, A. (2018). A comparison of social media marketing between B2B, B2C and mixed business models. Industrial Marketing Management, 81, 169-179. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.indmarman.2018.01.001. Iannacci, F., Fearon, C., & Pole, K. (2020). From acceptance to adaptive acceptance of social media policy change: A set-theoretic analysis of

Pappas, I. O., Mikalef, P., Giannakos, M. N., Krogstie, J., & Lekakos, G. (2018). Big data and business analytics ecosystems: Paving the way towards digital transformation and sustainable societies. Information Systems and e-Business Management, 16, 479-491. Pappas, I. O., Papavlasopoulou, S., Mikalef, P., & Giannakos, M. N. (2020). Identifying the combinations of motivations and emotions for creating satisfied users in SNSs: An fsQCA approach. International Journal of Information Management, 53, 102128. Pascucci, F., Ancillai, C., & Cardinali, S. (2018). Exploring antecedents of social media usage in B2B: A systematic review. Management Research Review, 41(6), 629-656. https://doi.org/10.1108/MRR- 07-2017-0212. Pitt, C. S., Plangger, K. A., Botha, E., Kietzmann, J., & Pitt, L. (2017). How employees engage with B2B brands on social media: Word choice and verbal tone. Industrial Marketing Management, 81, 130- 137. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.indmarman.2017.09.012. Pitt, C. S., Botha, E., Ferreira, J. J., & Kietzmann, J. (2018). Employee brand engagement on social media: Managing optimism and com- monality. Business Horizons, 61(4), 635-642. https://doi.org/10. 1016/j.bushor.2018.04.001. Porter, M. E., & Millar, V. E. (1985). How information gives you com- petitive advantage. Harvard Business Review, 63(4), 149-160. Pulizzi, J., & Handley, A. (2017). B2C content marketing-2018 bench- marks, budgets, and trends—North America. Available at https:// contentmarketinginstitute.com/wp-content/uploads/2016/09/2017_ B2B_Research_FINAL.pdf Accessed on April, 27, 2020. Rana, N. P., Dwivedi, Y. K., & Williams, M. D. (2015). A meta-analysis of existing research on citizen adoption of e-government. Information Systems Frontiers, 17(3), 547-563. Rana, N. P., Luthra, S., Mangla, S. K., Islam, R., Roderick, S., & Dwivedi, Y. K. (2019). Barriers to the development of smart cities in Indian context. Information Systems Frontiers, 21(3), 503-525. Rodriguez, M., Peterson, R. M., & Krishnan, V. (2012). Social media's influence on business-to-business sales performance. Journal of Personal Selling and Sales Management, 32(3), 365-378. https:// doi.org/10.2753/PSS0885-3134320306. Rossmann, A., & Stei, G. (2015). Sales 2.0 in business-to-business (B2B) networks: Conceptualization and impact of social media in B2B sales relationships. Paper presented at the Lecture Notes in Informatics (LNI), Proceedings - Series of the Gesellschaft Fur Informatik (GI), 244 67-78. Available at https://subs.emis.de/LNI/Proceedings/Proceedings244/67.pdf. Accessed 28 Jan 2021. Salo, J. (2017). Social media research in the industrial marketing field: Review of literature and future research directions. Industrial Marketing Management, 66, 115-129. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. indmarman.2017.07.013. Shaltoni, A. M. (2017). From websites to social media: Exploring the adoption of internet marketing in emerging industrial markets. Journal of Business and Industrial Marketing, 32(7), 1009-1019. https://doi.org/10.1108/JBIM-06-2016-0122. Siamagka, N. T., Christodoulides, G., Michaelidou, N., & Valvi, A. (2015). Determinants of social media adoption by B2B organiza- tions. Industrial Marketing Management, 51, 89-99. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.indmarman.2015.05.005. Sivarajah, U., Irani, Z., Gupta, S., & Mahroof, K. (2019). Role of big data and social media analytics for business to business sustainability: A participatory web context. Industrial Marketing Management. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.indmarman.2019.04.005. Sobal, A. (2017). 30 statistics about B2B social media usage. Available at https://www.weidert.com/blog/statistics-about-b2b-social-media- usage Accessed on April, 27, 2020. Stelzner, M. (2011). 2012 social media marketing industry report. Social media examiner. Available at https://www.socialmediaexaminer. com/socialmedia-marketing-industry-report-2012/. Accessed 28 Jan 2021.

Sułkowski, Ł, & Kaczorowska-Spychalska, D. (2016). Social media in the process of marketing evolution in polish textile-clothing indus- try. Fibres and Textiles in Eastern Europe, 24(5), 15-20. https://doi. org/10.5604/12303666.1215521. Swani, K., Milne, G., & Brown, B. P. (2013). Spreading the word through likes on facebook: Evaluating the message strategy effectiveness of fortune 500 companies. Journal of Research in Interactive Marketing, 7(4), 269-294. https://doi.org/10.1108/JRIM-05-2013- 0026. Swani, K., Brown, B. P., & Milne, G. R. (2014). Should tweets differ for B2B and B2C? An analysis of fortune 500 companies' twitter com- munications. Industrial Marketing Management, 43(5), 873-881. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.indmarman.2014.04.012. Swani, K., Milne, G. R., Brown, B. P., Assaf, A. G., & Donthu, N. (2017). What messages to post? Evaluating the popularity of social media

communications in business versus consumer markets. Industrial Marketing Management, 62, 77-87. https://doi.org/10. 1016/j.indmarman.2016.07.006. Tedeschi, B. (2006). Like shopping? Social networking? Try social shop- ping. New York Times, 11, 09. Teo, T. S., & Choo, W. Y. (2001). Assessing the impact of using the internet for competitive intelligence. Information & Management, 39(1), 67-83. Tseng. M. L. (2017). Using social media and qualitative and quantitative information scales to benchmark corporate sustainability. Journal of Cleaner Production, 142, 727-738. Vasudevan, S., & Kumar, F. J. P. (2018). Social media and B2B brands: An Indian perspective. International Journal of Mechanical Engineering and Technology, 9(9), 767-775. Vukanovic, Z. (2013). Managing social media value networks: From publisher (broadcast) to user-centric (broadband-narrowcast) busi- ness models. Handbook of social media management: value chain and business models in changing media markets (pp. 269-288) doi: https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-28897-5_16. Wang, Y., Rod, M., Ji, S., & Deng Q. (2017). Social media capability in B2B marketing: Toward a definition and a research model. Journal of Business and Industrial Marketing, 32(8), 1125-1135. https://doi. org/10.1108/JBIM-10-2016-0250. Watt, I. (2010). Changing visions of parliamentary libraries: From the enlightenment to Facebook. IFLA Journal, 36(1), 47-60. https:// doi.org/10.1177/0340035209359574. Webster, J., & Watson, R,. T. (2002). Analyzing the past to prepare for the future: writing a literature review. MIS quarterly, 26(2), xiii- xxiii. Westerman, G., Bonnet, D., & McAfee, A. (2014). Leading digital: Turning technology into business transformation. Boston, MA: Harvard Business Press. Williams, M. D., Rana, N. P., & Dwivedi, Y. K. (2015). The unified theory of acceptance and use of technology (UTAUT): A literature review. Journal of Enterprise Information Management, 28(3), 443-488. World Commission Report on Environment and Development. (1987). Our Common Future. Oxford: Oxford University Press. Yang, C. C., Yang, H., Tang X., & Jiang L. (2012). Identifying implicit relationships between social media users to support social com- merce. In ACM International conference proceeding series (pp. 41-47). https://doi.org/10.1145/2346536.2346544.

Yogesh K. Dwivedi is a Professor of Digital Marketing and Innovation, Founding Director of the Emerging Markets Research Centre (EMaRC)

and Co-Director of Research at the School of Management, Swansea University, Wales, UK. Professor Dwivedi is also currently leading the International Journal of Information Management as its Editor-in-Chief. His research interests are at the interface of Information Systems (IS) and Marketing, focusing on issues related to consumer adoption and diffusion of emerging digital innovations, digital government, and digital and social media marketing particularly in the context of emerging markets. Professor Dwivedi has published more than 300 articles in a range of leading academic journals and conferences that are widely cited (more than 22 thousand times as per Google Scholar). Professor Dwivedi is an Associate Editor of the Journal of Business Research, European Journal of Marketing, Government Information Quarterly and International Journal of Electronic Government Research, and Senior Editor of the Journal of Electronic Commerce Research. More information about Professor Dwivedi can be found at: http://www.swansea.ac.uk/staff/ som/academic-staff/y.k.dwivedi/

Elvira Ismagilova is a lecturer in Marketing at the University of Bradford, UK. She has a PhD in Business Management from Swansea University, UK. Elvira's current research interest focus on electronic word of mouth communications, social media marketing, b2b social media, consumer behaviour, and smart cities. Elvira has published articles in several highly regarded journals such as European Journal of Marketing, Information Systems Frontiers, Industrial Marketing Management, International Journal of Information Management, and Journal of Retailing and Consumer Services. She participated and presented her research in vari- ous international and domestic conferences including I3E2019, British Academy of Management, Academy of Marketing, and Academy of Marketing Science. Additionally, Elvira is a member of the Editorial Review Boards for International Journal of Information Management and Journal of Consumer Behaviour.

Nripendra P. Rana is a Professor in Digital Marketing and the Head of International Business, Marketing and Branding at the School of Management at University of Bradford, UK. His current research interests focus primarily on adoption and diffusion of emerging ICTs, e-com- merce, m-commerce, e-government and digital and social media market- ing. He has published more than 200 papers in a range of leading aca- demic journals, conference proceedings, books etc. He has co-edited five books on digital and social media marketing, emerging markets and sup- ply and operations management. He has also co-edited special issues, organised tracks, mini-tracks and panels in leading conferences. He is a Chief Editor of International Journal of Electronic Government Research and Associate Editor of International Journal of Information Management. He is a Senior Fellow of the Higher Education Academy (SFHEA) in the UK. He is also a Visiting Scholar at Indian Institute of Management Tiruchirappalli in India

Professor Ramakrishnan Raman has more than eighteen + years of total work experience, which is a blend of corporate, academic and research experience. His professional career in dealer chain management started with a large organization, Godrej and Boyce Manufacturing Co Ltd., in Chennai, India. He then moved into the consulting domain by joining the Leading Edge consulting services. He returned to an academic life to satisfy his passion for teaching by joining Vellore Institute of Technology (VIT) as a lecturer in the Department of Management Studies. After spending a couple of years with VIT, Prof. Raman joined the Kohinoor Group in their Business School named Kohinoor Business School (KBS), located at Khandala. KBS was offering the business man- agement program of Carleton University Sprott School of Business Ottawa Canada. During his long stint with Kohinoor Group he had strat- egized and headed ERP implementation for the group's education divi- sion named Joshi's Kohinoor Technical Institute (JKTI). After he spent eight years with the Kohinoor Group he joined SP Jain Institute of Management (SPJIMR), Mumbai campus. After a short stint at SPJIMR he joined Symbiosis International University (SIU). He served

as Director - SCIT (A constituent of SIU under faculty of Computer Studies) for three and half years and then in July 2014 took charge as Director - SIBM (A constituent of SIU under faculty of Management). He is also the Dean - Faculty of Management at Symbiosis International University.Raman has travelled across the globe and has been instrumen- tal in initiating academic collaborations with universities in Japan,

Canada, UAE and the United States. He has initiated several international collaborations for exchange of student and faculty members with academ- ic institutions across the globe. Raman's main research interest is at the interface of Information Systems, Information Security, IT Strategy and Digital Marketing.

Canada, UAE and the United States. He has initiated several international collaborations for exchange of student and faculty members with academ- ic institutions across the globe. Raman's main research interest is at the interface of Information Systems, Information Security, IT Strategy and Digital Marketing.